UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

THE NEW YORK TIMES COMPANY,

Plaintiffs,

Case No. 1:23-CV-11195-SHS

v.

MICROSOFT CORPORATION, et al.,

Defendants.

<u>DEFENDANT MICROSOFT CORPORATION'S RESPONSE TO PLAINTIFFS'</u> <u>MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE UNDER SEAL</u>

Pursuant to this Court's Individual Rules and Practices 5(B), Defendant Microsoft Corporation responds to Plaintiff The New York Times Company's ("NYT") Motion for Leave to File Under Seal (ECF No. 203) filed in connection with NYT's letter motion to compel ("Letter Motion") (ECF No. 204). For the reasons stated below, Microsoft respectfully requests the Court grant Plaintiffs' motion for leave to file under seal.

"The presumption of public access [to judicial documents] can be overcome only by specific, on-the-record findings that higher values necessitate a narrowly tailored sealing." *Lugosch v. Pyramid Co. of Onondaga*, 435 F.3d 110, 126 (2d Cir. 2006). "The proponent of sealing 'must demonstrat[e] that closure is essential to preserve higher values and is narrowly tailored to serve that interest." *Bernstein v. Bernstein Litowitz Berger & Grossmann LLP*, 814 F.3d 132, 144 (2d Cir. 2016) (quoting *In re N.Y. Times Co.*, 828 F.2d 110, 116 (2d Cir.1987)).

NYT's Letter Motion contains quotations from and descriptions of confidential and highly confidential documents, the disclosure of which would unfairly prejudice Microsoft. Specifically, the last sentence on page 2 of the Letter Motion quotes Exhibit 15 thereto. Exhibit 15, which was

marked "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL -- ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY" pursuant to the Protective

Order that was entered in this matter (ECF No. 127), contains highly confidential information

about ongoing work between OpenAI and Microsoft, as well as other Microsoft proprietary

information. See Ex. 1 (Declaration of Dee Templeton). Microsoft requests that this sentence be

redacted and sealed from the public and that Exhibit 15 be sealed in its entirety.

The information contained in Exhibit 15 and quoted in NYT's Motion is the type of

information commonly found to warrant sealing. See Regeneron Pharms., Inc. v. Novartis Pharma

AG, No. 1:20-CV-05502, 2021 WL 243943 (S.D.N.Y. Jan. 25, 2021) (finding that requested

redactions were "narrowly tailored to protect competitive business information, including the non-

public terms of [various agreements]" and concluding "that the sensitivity of this information

outweighs the presumption of access"); Louis Vuitton Malletier S.A. v. Sunny Merch. Corp., 97 F.

Supp. 3d 485, 511 (S.D.N.Y. 2015) (citation omitted) (concluding that proposed redactions were

"generally limited to specific business information and strategies, which, if revealed, 'may provide

valuable insights into a company's current business practices that a competitor would seek to

exploit."").

For the reasons stated above, and those set forth in the attached Declaration of Dee

Templeton, Microsoft respectfully requests that NYT's Motion for Leave to File Under Seal (ECF

No. 203) be granted. Specifically, Microsoft requests that Exhibit 15 and any sentence that quotes

from it in NYT's Letter Motion be sealed.

Dated: August 19, 2024

By: /s/ Annette L. Hurst

ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE LLP

Annette L. Hurst (admitted *pro hac vice*)

The Orrick Building

405 Howard Street

2

San Francisco, CA 94105-2669 Telephone: (415) 773-5700 Facsimile: (415) 773-5759 ahurst@orrick.com

Christopher J. Cariello 51 West 52nd Street New York, NY 10019 Telephone: (212) 506-3778 Facsimile: (212) 506-5151 ccariello@orrick.com

Sheryl Koval Garko Laura Brooks Najemy 222 Berkley Street Boston, MA 02116 Telephone: (617) 880-1800 Facsimile: (617) 880-1801 sgarko@orrick.com lnajemy@orrick.com

FAEGRE DRINKER BIDDLE & REATH LLP Jeffrey S. Jacobson 1177 Avenue of the Americas New York, New York 10036 Telephone: (212) 248-3191 jeffrey.jacobson@faegredrinker.com

Jared B. Briant (admitted *pro hac vice*) 1144 15th Street, Suite 3400 Denver, Colorado 80202 Telephone: (303) 607-3588 jared.briant@faegredrinker.com

Attorneys for Defendant Microsoft Corporation